

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/039,263	01/03/2002	Seung Hyeon Rhee	2080-3-65	9315	
35884	7590 02/10/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
LEE, HONG, DEGERMAN, KANG & SCHMADEKA, P.C.			SENFI, BE	SENFI, BEHROOZ M	
	801 SOUTH FIQUEROA STREET 14TH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90017		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2613		

DATE MAILED: 02/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
,	10/039,263	RHEE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Behrooz Senfi	2613			
The MAILING DATE of this communicatio Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatic  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ton. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) disperiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro statute, cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed  ays will be considered timely.  m the mailing date of this communication.  IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>1/3/2002</u> .				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠	This action is non-final.				
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) 15 - 20 is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 6 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) 2-5 and 7-14 is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and the subject to restrict the subject to restriction and the subject to restrict the subject to res	hdrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)  Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the contained to the specific or the spec	accepted or b) objected to by the othe drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So correction is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been received. ments have been received in Applica priority documents have been receiv ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
I) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) ☑	4) Interview Summar B) Paper No(s)/Mail [				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date	·	Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/039,263

Art Unit: 2613

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Youn et al (US 6,650,707) in view of Washington (US 6,389,171).

Regarding claim 1, Youn '707 teaches "method for decoding an image encoded" (i.e. fig. 4) and "preprocessing step of detecting a position of an end of block (EOB) of respective discrete cosine transform (DCT) blocks using length information of variable length code of encoded bit-stream" (i.e. figs 4 and 5, (VLD) and col. 3, lines 30 – 32, detection of EOB) and "step of redefining a processing order of DCT blocks according to the position of the EOB detected at the preprocessing step and performing a VLD ......" (fig. 5, col. 5, lines 40 – col. 6, lines 55). Youn '707 fails to explicitly teach "digital camcorder" as cited in the preamble of the claim. However such features are well known and used in prior art of the record as evidenced by Washington '171 (i.e. col. 1, lines 20 – 30). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to use a "digital camcorder" as a source for providing digital video signals.

Regarding claim 6, combination of Youn '707 and Washington '171 teach, "register/memory for storing a bit address" (i.e. figs. 3 - 4, col. 7, lines 45 – 65, col. 9, lines 19 – col. 10, lines 24).

Application/Control Number: 10/039,263

Art Unit: 2613

#### Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claim 15 is allowed over the prior art of the record.
- 4. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: the prior art of the record fails to anticipate or rendered obvious the claim limitation "control section having built in DCT block index vectors, macro block index vectors, and a bit address register to redefine a variable length decoding order of the variable length decoding section and outputting to the storage device the number of the respective DCT blocks to be processed, a read signal and a write signal in accordance with values of the DCT block index vectors" along with other steps as cited independent claim 15.

Claims 16 – 20 are allowed with respect to claim 15.

5. Claims 2 – 5 and 7 – 14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claim.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

#### Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Behrooz Senfi** whose telephone number is (703)305-0132.

Application/Control Number: 10/039,263 Page 4

Art Unit: 2613

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Chris Kelley** can be reached on **(703)305-4856.** 

# Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

#### Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relative to the status of the application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

B. S. B. S.

2/4/2005

CHRIS KELLEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600